UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/576,974	04/12/2007	Gregory Rodin	200801836-3	8137
	7590 10/07/200 CKARD COMPANY	EXAMINER		
	perty Administration	ZIMMERMANN, JOHN P		
3404 E. Harmony Road Mail Stop 35 FORT COLLINS, CO 80528			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2861	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/07/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM ipa.mail@hp.com jessica.l.fusek@hp.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/576,974	RODIN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	John P. Zimmermann	2861
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 A This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under B	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> are subject to restriction and/or of the subject to restriction and subject to restriction	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	es have been received. Es have been received in Applicati Frity documents have been receive Fu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/576,974 Page 2

Art Unit: 2861

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-14, drawn to an ink-jet printing process.

Group II, claim(s) 14-29, drawn to an ink-jet printing apparatus which directs radiation in one of two directions.

Group III, claim(s) 30, drawn to an ink-jet printing apparatus which splits radiation from one radiation source into two portions and directs them onto two spaced-apart locations.

Group IV, claim(s) 31, drawn to an ink-jet printing apparatus which splits radiation from one radiation source into two portions and directs them onto multiple spaced-apart locations.

- 2. The inventions listed as **Groups I, II, III, & IV** do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The groups listed above are not within the permitted combination of different categories of inventions. Currently there are three apparatuses, and a process. Additionally:
 - a. The special technical feature of Group I is a printing process which comprises continuously applying a radiation-curable ink which is not shared with Groups II, III, or IV.
 - b. The special technical feature of Group II is an ink-jet printing apparatus which directs radiation in one of two directions which is not shared with Groups I, II, or IV.

Application/Control Number: 10/576,974 Page 3

Art Unit: 2861

c. The special technical feature of Group III is an ink-jet printing apparatus which splits radiation from one radiation source into two portions and directs them onto *only* two spaced-apart locations, which is not shared with Groups I, II, or IV.

- d. The special technical feature of Group III is an ink-jet printing apparatus which splits radiation from one radiation source into two portions and directs them onto two *or more* spaced-apart locations, which is not shared with Groups I, II, or III.
- 3. <u>Upon election of invention I, II, III, or IV</u>, the applicant is further required to elect one of the following disclosed species. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

- A. The embodiment of Figure 3.
- B. The embodiment of Figure 5A.
- C. The embodiment of Figure 5B.
- D. The embodiment of Figure 5C.
- 4. <u>Upon election of invention I</u>, the applicant is further required to elect one of the following disclosed species. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.
 - (1) The ink-jet printing process which applies second curing radiation to locations to which ink and first curing radiation have previously been applied Corresponding to Claim 2.

Application/Control Number: 10/576,974 Page 4

Art Unit: 2861

(2) The ink-jet printing process which applies first and second curing radiation concurrently to spaced-apart locations, both spaced from the location to which the ink is applied – Corresponding to Claim 7.

- 5. <u>Upon election of invention II</u>, the applicant is further required to elect one of the following disclosed species. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.
 - (1) The ink-jet printing apparatus which includes three reflective elements, of which the third reflective element rotates Corresponding to Claim 15.
 - (2) The ink-jet printing apparatus which includes two reflective elements and a rotating radiation source Corresponding to Claim 20.
 - (3) The ink-jet printing apparatus which is configured to split the radiation into two curing radiation portions Corresponding to Claim 24.
- 6. <u>Upon election of invention II, species (1)</u>, the applicant is further required to elect one of the following disclosed species. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.
 - (a) Including a beam splitting element with a total of three reflective elements Corresponding to Claim 18.
 - (b) Including a beam splitting element with a total of four reflective elements Corresponding to Claim 19.

Art Unit: 2861

7. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement (e.g., I, A, (1), and (a)), and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered *nonresponsive* unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

8. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In

Art Unit: 2861

either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

9. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John P. Zimmermann whose telephone number is 571-270-3049. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 7:00am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Luu can be reached on 571-272-7663. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2861

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/MATTHEW LUU/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2861

102